

The International AMD Genomics Consortium study: another success in understanding the complex genetics of AMD

BY VALENTINA CIPRIANI

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a neurodegenerative complex disease of the eye and a major cause of blindness and visual impairment among the elderly worldwide. The early stages of the disease are characterised by asymptomatic pigmentary abnormalities and accumulation of yellow extracellular deposits of protein and lipid materials (drusen) in the central part of the retina (macula). The disease progresses with increased local inflammation and damage of the whole photoreceptor support system, which includes the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), Bruch's membrane, and the choroidal vasculature [1,2]. Advanced stages of AMD include a commoner 'dry' form, characterised by a discrete area of 'geographic' atrophy (GA) of the RPE and the choroid vessels that causes a progressive central visual loss, and a 'wet' form, if formation of new blood vessels choroidal neovascularisation (CNV) directly beneath the RPE occurs, usually causing a more acute central visual loss. It is estimated that by 2020 the number of people affected by AMD worldwide will be 196 million, of which 11 million will have a late form of the disease, increasing to 288 and 19 million in 2040, respectively [3]. With the world's population aging at an unprecedented rate and advanced age being the most significant risk factor for AMD, these projections represent a major global health challenge.

Current therapeutic options remain limited, and mainly confined to anti-VEGF therapies only for one late form of the disease (i.e. CNV) [4]. AMD arguably represents a paradigm in the field of complex genetics [5] for the tremendous advances that have been made in understanding its genetic architecture. The seminal discovery in 2005 of the complement factor H (*CFH*) as a major susceptibility gene for AMD is one of the best known and most successful genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of common complex disorders [6]. A plethora of other genetic investigations of AMD have subsequently identified associations at

many additional loci, encompassing other genes within the complement system (*C2/CFB, C3, CFI*) and beyond (*ARMS2/HTRA1, APOE, LIPC, CETP, SYN3/TIMP3, FRK/COL10A1, VEGFA, TNFRSF10A/LOC389641*) (see [1] for a full list of references on these associations). The recent largest meta-analysis of GWASs carried out by the AMDGene Consortium on >7600 advanced cases and >50,000 controls has found seven novel loci (*COL8A1/FILIP1L, IER3/DDR1, SLC16A8, TGFBR1, RAD51B, ADAMTS9/MIR548A2, and B3GALT1*) [7]. Together with the *REST/C4orf14/POLR2B/IGFBP7* locus showing association with the wet form of AMD in the Japanese population [8] and another association signal recently found at a rare protein-coding allele in *C9* [9], these findings have brought the total number of AMD susceptibility loci to 21.

This article will provide a summary and critical review of the latest large genetic association study of AMD conducted by the International AMD Genomics Consortium (IAMDC) [10], a collaborative effort that includes 26 research groups from across the world.

The International AMD Genomics Consortium study

The IAMDC has carried out the largest centralised genotyping experiment of AMD, collecting DNA samples from 43,566 subjects of predominantly European ancestry. These comprise 16,144 patients with advanced AMD and 17,832 control subjects with European ancestry, used in the primary analysis, as well as 6657 subjects with intermediate disease with European ancestry, and 2933 subjects with non-European ancestry. While the previous largest study [7] had examined about ~2.4 common minor allele frequency (MAF) $\geq 1\%$ million variants, including ~18,000 genotyped or imputed protein-altering variants, using meta-analysis, the IAMDC study is a mega-analysis of individual participant genetic data on ~12 million variants obtained through direct genotyping using a custom-modified HumanCoreExome

array by Illumina (~440,000 variants, after quality control), as well as imputation to the 1000 Genomes Project reference panel. The customised array content consisted of ~40,000 previously AMD-associated variants and tagging markers, including protein-altering variants identified in case-control resequencing studies of AMD. Altogether, this study investigated about 3.5 times more common variants than the previous GWAS meta-analysis, while also examining ~3 million rare (MAF < 1%) variants for the first time. Importantly, ~160,000 mostly rare, protein-altering variants were directly genotyped, including ~8000 from known AMD associated loci.

By conducting a genome-wide single-variant association analysis in combination with sequential forward selection analyses in the primary dataset, the IAMDC study identified 52 independently associated variants at $P \leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$ (i.e. genome-wide significant) distributed across 34 loci, of which 16 were novel (reached genome-wide significance for the first time). Three previously described loci were not supported by the study, including *REST/C4orf14/POLR2B/IGFBP7* ($P=0.081$) associated in the Japanese population [8], *IER3/DDR1* ($P=0.0134$) that lost evidence of association after conditioning on variants near the *C2/CFB* genes (possible shadow effect found with the use of wider locus regions in the sequential conditioning approach), and *FRK/COL10A1* ($P=1.2 \times 10^{-4}$) (the larger IAMDC dataset may have helped clarify the signal of $P=2 \times 10^{-8}$ found in the previous AMDGene Consortium meta-analysis [7]).

In order to get biological insights and narrow the list of candidate genes from all the 34 AMD-associated loci, the authors annotated the 368 genes closest to the 52 association signals and used this list of genes to carry out a number of additional analyses, including gene pathway analyses, interrogating multiple databases, and an extensive search of the literature and available datasets to identify relevant retina, RPE or choroid phenotypes in established

genetically modified mice (observed for 32 genes out of 368) and genes that were approved or experimental drug targets (31 genes out of 368). Using data from two independent in-house tissue datasets, they have further checked whether the genes were expressed in the retina (82.6% of the genes) or RPE / choroid (86.4% of the genes). In particular, the novel loci overlap promising candidate genes with compelling biology, including an inhibitor of the complement cascade (*VTN*), a key activator in immune function (*PILRB*), extracellular matrix genes (*COL4A3*, *MMP19* and *MMP9*) and genes involved in lipid metabolism (*ABCA1* and *GPX4*). As an indication of how the genetics and the biology are coming together, it is worth noticing that established AMD pathways, such as the complement, extracellular matrix remodelling and lipid transport pathways, have been enriched with multiple genes from the novel loci, and many novel genes appeared in multiple pathways. The IAMDGC study is also the first genetic investigation of AMD where an association signal specific to the wet form of the disease was identified. The variant rs42450006 upstream of *MMP9* was exclusively associated with CNV ($P=8.4 \times 10^{-17}$), and not with GA ($P=0.39$). Importantly, *MMP9* may be involved in the development of CNV as shown in mouse models [11] and gene expression studies in the Bruch's membrane of patients with the wet form of the disease [12].

The genotyping array used in the IAMDGC study was designed with an emphasis on rare coding variation. Altogether the study had the power to discover >80% of associated protein-altering variants with MAF >0.1% and >3-fold increased disease risk, or MAF >0.5% and >1.8-fold increased disease risk. Notably, all lead variants at the novel loci were common, showing fully conditioned odds ratios (from an association model including all 52 variants) of low / modest size (increase odds of disease by 10-15%; MAF \geq 10%) at 12 novel loci and modest / intermediate size (increase odds of disease by 20-50%; MAF=2-8%) at four novel loci. Moreover, only seven (out of 52) independently associated variants were rare, they were all observed in or near previously associated complement genes (i.e., *CFH*, *CFI*, *C3* and *C9*) and only three of them were novel (rs148553336, rs191281603 and rs35292876 at the *CFH* locus). Among the four previously described rare nonsynonymous variants, except for p.P167S in *C9* [9] for which a perfect proxy (rs62358361) was imputed, p.R1210C in *CFH* [13], p.G119R in *CFI* [14] and p.K155Q in *C3* [9,15,16] were identified as part of the customised content of the genotyping chip. It is worth noting that, if not genotyped, these rare coding variants would not have been identified otherwise as they were not present

in the reference panel used for imputation. This illustrates the current challenges in the field of complex disorders to identify association signals at rare variation through direct genotyping (and imputation) despite the use of large sample sizes and well-powered studies. On the other hand, the IAMDGC findings show that the 'common disease / common variant' hypothesis still has validity for the complex genetics of AMD when an ever larger sample size is used, with common variants currently making a much larger contribution to the disease risk than rare variants.

Further insights about missing heritability due to rare variation are provided by the findings from the rare variant burden testing. This analysis focused on protein-altering (nonsynonymous, stop gain and splice site) variants and looked for genes with a significantly different cumulative frequency of rare variants between cases and controls. Notably, no rare burden signal was detected outside the 34 AMD-associated loci and, among them, only four genes (*CFH*, *CFI*, *TIMP3* and *SLC16A8*) passed the significance threshold. If, on one hand, this represents an undoubted success in showing a likely causal role of these four genes (in line with the 'common disease / rare variant' hypothesis [17]), it also highlights, again, the challenges of such analyses and, as noted by the authors, points to the need of extremely large sample sizes, and more sequencing (as opposed to genotyping and imputation). With the exception of *SLC16A8*, the rare burden was due to very rare variants (MAF <0.1% in controls), mostly derived from sequencing patients with AMD. The authors therefore note that the hybrid approach of their study, consisting in direct targeted sequencing of patient samples for variant discovery (with focus on regions around GWAS loci) followed by genotyping in larger samples for association analysis, may continue to be a cost-effective alternative.

Conclusion

One key issue in complex genetics is how much of the disease variability can be explained by the known associated genetic variants, and in this respect the genetics of AMD still represents one of the best understood in the field, with the 52 independently associated variants explaining 18%, 27% and 34% of the disease variability, assuming disease prevalence of 1%, 5% and 10% respectively. These proportions also represent more than the half (about 60% for all three estimates) of the genomic heritability as estimated by using all genotyped variants.

In conclusion, the findings of the IAMDGC study represent a substantial advance in understanding the complex genetics of AMD

and, with its many additional susceptibility loci underlying genes in multiple relevant pathways, offer an unprecedented opportunity not only to further the understanding of AMD pathology, but also to identify novel therapeutic targets for potential drug development that should go far beyond the current anti-VEGF therapies. While the IAMDGC will likely continue to uncover the genetic architecture of AMD, the time has probably come to call for an international effort on the biology of the disease that would conduct model organism, cellular and functional studies of AMD in a collaborative and systematic fashion. Finally, the IAMDGC was able to put together an outstanding source of genetic and phenotype data of unprecedented large sample size that is now publicly available for further analysis in the database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP, accession number phs001039.v1.p1). Indeed, a recent analysis of the inferred haplotypes as estimated within the IAMDGC study has already proven successful in dissecting statistically a long debated AMD association at the 10q26 region [18], with the identification of rare recombinant haplotypes that revealed genetic variants in *ARMS2* but not *HTRA1* to carry exclusively the AMD disease load. It is anticipated that many more such successful analyses of the IAMDGC dataset will appear in the near future.

References

1. Fritsche LG, Fariss RN, Stambolian D, et al. Age-related macular degeneration: genetics and biology coming together. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2014;**15**:151-71.
2. Swaroop A, Chew EY, Rickman CB, Abecasis GR. Unraveling a multifactorial late-onset disease: from genetic susceptibility to disease mechanisms for age-related macular degeneration. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2009;**10**:19-43.
3. Wong WL, Su X, Li X, Cheung CM, et al. Global prevalence of age-related macular degeneration and disease burden projection for 2020 and 2040: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2014;**2**(2):e106-16.
4. Holz FG, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Fleckenstein M. Recent developments in the treatment of age-related macular degeneration. *J Clin Invest* 2014;**124**(4):1430-8.
5. Swaroop A, Branham KE, Chen W, Abecasis G. Genetic susceptibility to age-related macular degeneration: a paradigm for dissecting complex disease traits. *Hum Mol Genet* 2007;**16** Spec No. 2:R174-182.
6. Klein RJ, Zeiss C, Chew EY, et al. Complement factor H polymorphism in age-related macular degeneration. *Science* 2005;**308**(5720):385-9.
7. Fritsche LG, Chen W, Schu M, et al. Seven new loci associated with age-related macular degeneration. *Nat Genet* 2013;**45**(4):433-9.
8. Arakawa S, Takahashi A, Ashikawa K, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies two susceptibility loci for exudative age-related macular degeneration in the Japanese population. *Nat Genet* 2011;**43**(10):1001-4.
9. Seddon JM, Yu Y, Miller EC, Reynolds R, et al. Rare variants in *CFI*, *C3* and *C9* are associated with high risk of advanced age-related macular degeneration. *Nat Genet* 2013;**45**(11):1366-70.
10. Fritsche LG, Igl W, Bailey JN, Grassmann F, et al. A large genome-wide association study of age-related macular degeneration highlights contributions of rare and common variants. *Nat Genet* 2016;**48**(2):134-43.

11. Lambert V, Wielockx B, Munaut C, et al. MMP-2 and MMP-9 synergize in promoting choroidal neovascularization. *FASEB J* 2003;**17**(15):2290-2.
12. Hussain AA, Lee Y, Zhang JJ, Marshall J. Disturbed matrix metalloproteinase activity of Bruch's membrane in age-related macular degeneration. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011;**52**(7):4459-66.
13. Raychaudhuri S, Iartchouk O, Chin K, et al. A rare penetrant mutation in CFH confers high risk of age-related macular degeneration. *Nat Genet* 2011;**43**(12):1232-6.
14. van de Ven JP, Nilsson SC, Tan PL, et al. A functional variant in the CFI gene confers a high risk of age-related macular degeneration. *Nat Genet* 2013;**45**(7):813-7.
15. Helgason H, Sulem P, Duvvari MR, et al. A rare nonsynonymous sequence variant in C3 is associated with high risk of age-related macular degeneration. *Nat Genet* 2013;**45**(11):1371-4.
16. Zhan X, Larson DE, Wang C, et al. Identification of a rare coding variant in complement 3 associated with age-related macular degeneration. *Nat Genet* 2013;**45**(11):1375-9.
17. Pritchard JK. Are rare variants responsible for susceptibility to complex diseases? *Am J Hum Genet* 2001;**69**(1):124-37.
18. Grassmann F, Heid IM, Weber BH. Recombinant haplotypes narrow the ARMS2/HTRA1 association signal for age-related macular degeneration. *Genetics* 2016; Epub ahead of print.



Valentina Cipriani,

UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London; Moorfields Eye Hospital, London; UCL Genetics Institute (UGI), London, UK.

E: v.cipriani@ucl.ac.uk

Acknowledgement: Valentina Cipriani is a member of the IAMDGC. She is supported by a grant from the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital National Health Service Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology (UK). The views expressed in this publication are those of the author and not necessarily those of the Department of Health.

List of members of the IAMDGC

(in the same order as listed in the paper [10]) Lars G Fritsche, Wilmar Igl, Jessica N Cooke Bailey, Felix Grassmann, Sebanti Sengupta, Jennifer L Bragg-Gresham, Kathryn P Burdon, Scott J Hebbbrin, Cindy Wen, Mathias Gorski, Ivana K Kim, David Cho, Donald Zack, Eric Souied, Hendrik P N Scholl, Elisa Bala, Kristine E Lee, David J Hunter, Rebecca J Sardell, Paul Mitchell, Joanna E Merriam, Valentina Cipriani, Joshua D Hoffman, Tina Schick, Yara T E Lechanteur, Robyn H Guymer, Matthew P Johnson, Yingda Jiang, Chloe M Stanton, Gabriëlle H S Buitendijk, Xiaowei Zhan, Alan M Kwong, Alexis Boleda, Matthew Brooks, Linn Gieser, Rinki Ratnapriya, Kari E Branham, Johanna R Foerster, John R Heckenlively, Mohammad I Othman, Brendan J Vote, Helena Hai Liang, Emmanuelle Souzeau, Ian L McAllister, Timothy Isaacs, Janette Hall, Stewart Lake, David A Mackey, Ian J Constable, Jamie E Craig, Terrie E Kitchner, Zhenglin Yang, Zhiguang Su, Hongrong Luo, Daniel Chen, Hong Ouyang, Ken Flagg, Danni Lin, Guanping Mao, Henry Ferreira, Klaus Stark, Claudia N von Strachwitz, Armin Wolf, Caroline Brandl, Guenther Rudolph, Matthias Olden, Margaux A Morrison, Denise J Morgan, Matthew Schu, Jeeyun Ahn, Giuliana Silvestri, Evangelia E Tsironi, Kyu Hyung Park, Lindsay A Farrer, Anton Orlin, Alexander Brucker, Mingyao Li, Christine A Curcio, Saddek Mohand-Saïd, José-Alain Sahel, Isabelle Audo, Mustapha Benhaboune, Angela J Cree, Christina A Rennie, Srinivas V Goverdhan, Michelle Grunin, Shira Hagbi-Levi, Peter Campochiaro, Nicholas Katsanis, Frank G Holz, Frédéric Blond, Hélène Blanché, Jean-François Deleuze, Robert P Igo Jr, Barbara Truitt, Neal S Peachey, Stacy M Meuer, Chelsea E Myers, Emily L Moore, Ronald Klein, Michael A Hauser, Eric A Postel, Monique D Courtenay, Stephen G Schwartz, Jaclyn L Kovach, William K Scott, Gerald Liew, Ava G Tan, Bamini Gopinath, John C Merriam, R Theodore Smith, Jane C Khan, Humma Shahid, Anthony T Moore, J Allie McGrath, René Laux, Milam A Brantley Jr, Anita Agarwal, Lebriz Ersoy, Albert Caramoy, Thomas Langmann, Nicole T M Saksens, Eiko K de Jong, Carel B Hoyng, Melinda S Cain, Andrea J Richardson, Tammy M Martin, John Blangero, Daniel E Weeks, Bal Dhillon, Cornelia M van Duijn, Kimberly F Doheny, Jane Romm, Caroline C W Klaver, Caroline Hayward, Michael B Gorin, Michael L Klein, Paul N Baird, Anneke I den Hollander, Sascha Fauser, John R W Yates, Rando Allikmets, Jie Jin Wang, Debra A Schaumberg, Barbara E K Klein, Stephanie A Hagstrom, Itay Chowers, Andrew J Lotery, Thierry Lévillard, Kang Zhang, Murray H Brilliant, Alex W Hewitt, Anand Swaroop, Emily Y Chew, Margaret A Pericak-Vance, Margaret DeAngelis, Dwight Stambolian, Jonathan L Haines, Sudha K Iyengar, Bernhard H F Weber, Gonçalo R Abecasis, Iris M Heid.