Sexually transmitted conjunctivitis – the REALLY sticky eye

BY GEORGE BERRETT

et's face it, patients with conjunctivitis don't always produce the most stimulating consultations and most of the time we can manage them in auto-pilot. The prospect of delving into such a patient’s sexual history is not overly appealing, but this article will explore why you should, at least occasionally! I’ll outline an approach to adult Chlamydial and Gonococcal conjunctivitis and some tips for the next time you find yourself in a sticky situation.

Why is it important?

Although uncommon, sexually-transmitted conjunctivitis is increasing in incidence [1]. Not only are these conditions sight-threatening, but they serve as indicators for systemic disease, with as many as 77% noted to have co-existent genital infection [2]. This degree of co-infection suggests conjunctivitis largely arises from autoinoculation of genital secretions, though 'direct' infection is also described [3]. These infections also disproportionately affect the young and sexually active (with a male skew), who are frequently ill-informed with regards to their sexual health [1,4]. This provides a golden opportunity for effective, targeted health promotion.

When should I be suspicious?

One answer is, in anyone that is sexually active, and to know that you need to be taking sexual histories! Some of us became ophthalmologists to escape these topics, but appropriate questioning is very informative, especially given the high proportion of people with co-existing genital symptoms. There are myriad potential questions, but the following are probably most relevant:

• Are they sexually active?
• Do they have one or multiple partners?
• What, if any, contraception is used?
• Are genital symptoms present? – e.g. dysuria, discharge, discomfort.

It is good practice to consider who accompanies the patient when broaching these topics, and how you approach the transition. Warning shots are useful, as lurching in with intimate questions can be jarring. Suspicions should be raised too when you are confronted with classical symptomology or exam findings that don’t quite fit with bacterial, viral or allergic syndromes.

Gonococcus typically produces an acute, unilateral red eye with profuse mucopurulent discharge (recurring within minutes). Patients may also describe pain, blurred vision, photophobia and malaise. Significant periorcular swelling is not uncommon; often to the degree that pre-septal cellulitis is a valid differential [1]. Conjunctival reaction is papillary or follicular, and you may see chemosis, sub-conjunctival haemorrhage and purulent discharge in the fornices.

Anterior chamber activity is rarer, as are significant corneal changes. These can be devastating, however, with fulminant keratitis, pseudomembrane (conjunctival adhesion to the cornea) and corneal thinning and melting [5] (Figure 1). Orbital features can occur, such as ophthalmoplegia and proptosis, and imaging may be required to exclude focal collections [6].

Chlamydial conjunctivitis is more often bilateral (a third [2]), and produces watery or mucoid discharge. Symptoms may be persistent and have already responded poorly to topical antibiotics. Haemorrhagic discharge is specific in neonates, and potentially in adults [7]. The conjunctivitis tends to be follicular, with chemosis and pseudomembranes, and may involve the bulbar conjunctiva as well as tarsal. Mild corneal inflammation is expected, but clinically important corneal or conjunctival scarring is much rarer [8]. Importantly, many of these features mirror adenovirus, so vigilance and a clear history are vital.

How do I manage them?

Identifying the organism is crucial. On occasion, it may be appropriate to treat complicated gonococcal disease empirically, but confirmation is desirable and usually achievable. Results generally are not immediate so a pathway for recalling or contacting patients is required, and it is important to be frank about your suspicions.

For chlamydia identification, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is the most helpful test. Infected cells are needed for this, and are acquired through a reasonably rough fornix swab, with a specific kit. These tests are widely available through local microbiology departments and results typically take 24-48 hours. Culture by contrast, is difficult, takes longer, and is rarely performed.

There are more options when testing for gonococcus. Ideally, PCR and culture are performed from a forniceal pus swab, in a similar fashion to chlamydia. PCR takes roughly 24-48 hours, and culture up to five days. Different centres will have their own protocols. Culture has the benefit of providing sensitivities, which is important from a public health perspective and for treatment resistant cases, but the lengthy turnaround is limiting. If rapid confirmation is required, Gram staining of a pus sample spread onto a slide may be performed. This lacks sensitivity but may identify the organism within a few hours, which can be invaluable in severe cases with diagnostic uncertainty.

Systemic therapy is needed to eradicate these organisms, and excellent treatment guidelines are provided by The British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH). Oral Doxycycline 100mg BD for seven days is first-line for Chlamydia, and only lubricants are recommended topically [9]. Single dose Azithromycin has been replaced due to a concerning rise in macrolide resistance among co-morbid mycoplasma genitalium infections.

Intramuscular Ceftriaxone 1g is preferred for Gonorrhoea [10], and the role of topical therapy is debated. Some feel the quantity of
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Table 1: A comparison of Chlamydial conjunctivitis and Gonorrhoeal conjunctivitis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chlamydial conjunctivitis</th>
<th>Gonorrhoeal conjunctivitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration</strong></td>
<td>Acute or chronic, may have received antibiotics to little effect</td>
<td>Acute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laterality</strong></td>
<td>A third are bilateral</td>
<td>Rare bilaterally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptoms</strong></td>
<td>Sore, red, watery (muco, discharge, photophobia)</td>
<td>Painful, red, profuse discharge (soft tissue swelling, photophobia, blurred vision)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conjunctival reaction</strong></td>
<td>Follicular, may be bulbar and tarsal</td>
<td>Follicular or papillary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other signs</strong></td>
<td>Chemosis, pseudomembranes, mild corneal change</td>
<td>Chemosis, subconjunctival haemorrhage, periocular swelling, corneal changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complications</strong></td>
<td>Rare (fibrosis, symblepharon)</td>
<td>Keratitis / ulceration, uveitis, pre-septal cellulitis, orbital features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investigation</strong></td>
<td>Swab - PCR</td>
<td>Swab - PCR, culture, gram stain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topical management</strong></td>
<td>Lubricants</td>
<td>Debatable in simple disease, high frequency if complicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First-line Systemic management</strong></td>
<td>Oral doxycycline 100mg BD, seven days</td>
<td>Intramuscular ceftriaxone 1g, once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-ophthalmic management</strong></td>
<td>Explain what happens next and refer to sexual health services! Encourage attendance to these services, reinforce the need for abstention, and provide information / leaflets.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admit?</strong></td>
<td>Probably never!</td>
<td>Severe and complicated disease. Discuss with seniors and potentially infectious diseases. May need IV and intensive topical antibiotics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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